Abstract. Ensuring food safety for people suffering from various intolerances faces serious threats posed by allergens which have become a challenge for food manufacturers and mass caterers. These businesses are required to develop and implement appropriate programs to manage allergens present in their sites. The purpose of this paper was to analyze the actions taken by food manufacturers with respect to substances and products causing allergies or food intolerances in the context of providing consumers with a safe, properly labeled product. To achieve the objective of this study, a data analysis and synthesis method was employed based on requirements defined in obligatory and non-obligatory standards for food safety assurance and management in the food production sector. In summary, as regards allergen management, the companies must create appropriate conditions and take adequate measures (including the analysis of risks due to intentional and adventitious presence of allergens in food, and the implementation of appropriate preventive actions). Solutions provided in the mandatory standards, mainly GHP and HACCP, as well as in non-mandatory standards, such as ISO 22000, BRC, IFS and SQF, may be helpful in the management of substances causing allergies or intolerances.
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INTRODUCTION

Food safety is an important part of the system for the protection of human health. The main objectives of the European Union’s food safety policy include providing consumers with safe, high-quality food and with reliable, accurate and transparent information about food products. Every food company must ensure that food safety is not compromised, and has to provide accurate information on their offering so that the consumer can make a choice being well-informed.

Substances and products causing food allergies or intolerances are common, and are safe for most people. However, in case of food-sensitive consumers, such products may result in various dangerous and unpredictable symptoms. Therefore, it is extremely important for this vulnerable group to find and choose responsibly produced and accurately labeled food products. This has become a major challenge and obligation for food manufacturers because food allergens pose a real threat to human health and life.

FOOD ALLERGENS: THREATS FOR THE FOOD INDUSTRY

Nowadays, societies are suffering from growing number of health problems caused by allergic reactions.
Allergens are commonly occurring substances which result in a number of undesirable symptoms experienced by a group of people with a genetically determined disturbance of IgE levels: cutaneous lesions; digestive, respiratory and cardiovascular reactions (e.g., urticaria, laryngeal edema, angioedema of lips, tongue or face; asthma; rhinitis; vomiting; diarrhea; hypotension; and life-threatening anaphylactic shocks) (Słowianek and Leszczyńska, 2011; Weng et al., 2016). These reactions include food allergies which have contributed to establishing a separate food manufacturing sector specifically targeted at those consumers.

Food allergy is defined as an adverse immune-mediated response which occurs reproducibly on exposure to a given food and is absent during its avoidance. While that food is generally not harmful to the population, it can affect personal eating habits of patients suffering from allergy (Górecka et al., 2013; Turnbull et al., 2015). According to data from the “Epidemiology of Allergic Disease in Poland” project, food allergies affect 13% of children aged 6–7, 11% of children aged 13–14 and 5% of adults (Samoliński et al., 2008). Almost 90% of all IgE-mediated food allergies (including anaphylaxis) are caused by the consumption of specific food ingredients and products, primarily including: milk, eggs, fish, crustaceans, nuts, peanuts, soybeans and wheat (Przybylski, 2016).

There are clear and hidden food allergens. The reason for the occurrence of the first group is the nature and composition of the food product. Hidden allergens, in turn, are neither ingredients nor components of the product; their presence is unintended or accidental. The identification of hidden allergens is usually based on the analysis of statements made by suppliers of raw materials, intermediate and finished products and all other production materials which include allergens, are used in the plant during various manufacturing processes and, as a result of cross-contamination, may potentially infiltrate the products (Dzwolak, 2015; Ukleja-Sokołowska and Bartuzi, 2015).

For most allergens, except for sulfur dioxide (10 ppm) and gluten (20 ppm), it is difficult to specify the acceptable consumption threshold which, if not exceeded, guarantees the absence (or a very low likelihood) of side effects experienced by allergy sufferers. Setting the thresholds is difficult because the phenomenon (and its importance in the dose-effect relationship) is highly unpredictable. Also, the detection and quantification tools currently used in the testing methods to assess the allergen content are subject to some constraints. However, attempts are made to determine safe levels for major allergens in food products using the available clinical data as a reference point. Zurzolo (2014) presented safe concentrations for 11 selected allergens based on data provided by The Allergen Bureau’s VITAL (Voluntary Incidental Trace Allergen Labeling) Program, launched in 2007 in Australia and New Zealand (Table 1). The VITAL Program is a standardized allergen risk identification process for the food industry which allows the assessment of likely sources of allergen cross contact from raw materials and the processing environment, plus an

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Eliciting doses (ED) for common allergens causing allergies or intolerances</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Substances or products causing allergies or intolerances</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protein level (mg)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereals containing gluten, namely: wheat, rye, barley, oats, spelt, kamut and products thereof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crustaceans and products thereof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggs and products thereof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish and products thereof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peanuts and products thereof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybeans and products thereof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk and products thereof (including lactose)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuts, namely: almonds, hazelnuts, walnuts, cashews, pecan nuts, Brazil nuts, pistachio nuts, macadamia or Queensland nuts and products thereof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celery and products thereof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mustard and products thereof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sesame seeds products thereof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur dioxide and sulfites at concentrations of more than 10 mg/kg or 10 mg/l expressed as SO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lupin and products thereof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molluscs and products thereof</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

evaluation of the amount present (Ukleja-Sokołowska and Bartuzi, 2015).

The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) is the method most commonly used in laboratory analyses to detect and quantify substances which cause allergies or intolerances. It is employed for quantitative detection of specific proteins in substances or products causing allergies in foodstuffs using polyclonal or monoclonal antibodies conjugated to a specific enzyme. Other currently developed detection tests include: LFA (lateral flow immunochromatographic assay), biosensors, and PCR-based DNA analyses. Most food processing plants rely on quick test strips to detect allergens in food. This is an easy and effective method for detecting trace amounts of allergens in food products.

The European Union established the RASFF (Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed) which operates under the supervision of the European Commission and is an important part of risk assessment for food and feed products. It provides an effective framework for the exchange of information between EU Member States on identified risks in food and feed and on the nature of activities undertaken, including those related to allergens. In the RASFF reports from recent years, allergens are the third most frequently notified threat category on the EU internal market, following pathogenic microorganisms and heavy metals. The 2015, 2016 and 2017 RASFF reports include 114, 86 and 116 notifications for allergens, respectively.

LABELING OF FOOD ALLERGENS

The scale of the problem and the possible consequences of the consumption of food allergens contained in food products resulted in the adoption of legal regulations on labeling of allergens in food in order to protect consumer safety. For the consumers, the main source of information about the presence of allergens in food is adequate product labeling. The obligation to declare the presence of allergens is applicable only to ingredients intentionally included in a food product. Currently, the list of required declarations of the presence of substances or products causing allergies or intolerances includes 14 products, as listed in Table 1 (Annex II to Regulation (EU) 1169/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council). The European Commission, in order to provide consumers with reliable information, considering the latest scientific and technical knowledge, systematically analyzes and, if necessary, updates the list of substances or products causing allergies or intolerances by adopting delegated acts. Regulation (EU) 1169/2011 also obliges food manufacturers to label allergens present in both packaged and unpackaged products (marketed in bulk or served in restaurants and bars). As stated in the Regulation, the name of the substance or product causing allergy or intolerance must be emphasized through a typeset that clearly distinguishes it from the rest of ingredients list, for example by means of the font, style or background color.

Legal regulations applicable to food do not provide precise requirements on how to label hidden allergens that may potentially cross-contaminate the products. The unintended presence of allergens in the final product may result, for instance, from improper washing and preparation of the production line used for various foods (both containing and free from allergens). The manufacturers, made responsible for providing consumers with reliable product information, expect regulations to clearly set the minimum number of allergens which allows the product to be considered allergen-free. Furthermore, the regulations fail to define the “trace amount” of an allergen. More and more people suffer from allergies or intolerances to various food products or substances, and allergic reactions may be caused even by extremely low concentrations of allergens. Therefore, to address the needs of the consumers, food manufacturers more and more often extend their food labels with “may contain...” or “possible presence of...” warnings referring to specific hidden allergens named in Regulation (EU) 1169/2011. However, manufacturers should avoid overusing this wording with respect to all food products, as it may mislead the consumers.

MANAGEMENT OF FOOD ALLERGENS IN THE FOOD PRODUCTION INDUSTRY

Even trace amounts of allergens in products may pose a risk to consumer health. Therefore, food manufacturers are increasingly focusing on production methods that minimize or completely eliminate the use of allergenic substances. Food manufacturers and mass caterers are solely responsible for making statements on the absence of allergens in food ingredients and for assuring that no cross-contamination with allergens occurs during the production process. Such food products can be labeled with special symbols (e.g. Crossed Grain symbol for
Allergen management in food plants is based on identifying all allergens present in the production area; defining their location and position in the process; identifying the products which contain specific food allergens and allergen-free products; assessing the risk of unintended presence of allergens in the product; and providing consumers with information on allergen-containing products. Because of implemented quality and food safety standards, manufacturers undertake surveillance of allergens present in the plant. This involves developing and implementing procedures which define critical checkpoints and methods for allergen elimination. As a consequence, the risk of unintended contamination of products with allergens which generally are not an ingredient thereof is minimized to an acceptable level, thus reducing the need for cautionary food labeling.

The first step of allergen control is to identify all raw materials and additives used in the plant which are, or may contain, allergens. Then, such items are adequately marked and separated. Depending on the nature of allergens, further segregation and mutual isolation may be required to minimize the risk of cross-contamination. Staff, and their awareness of threats associated with allergens, is an important aspect of allergen control. Therefore, systematic employees trainings reinforce their knowledge and self-discipline in complying with implemented principles.

Seconded personnel, specially marked equipment, and spatial isolation of stored raw materials, additives and processing aids are the measures used to prevent allergen cross-contamination. Moreover, production workers are forbidden to bring their own food products as it could become the source of new allergens in the plant. The next stage of control is the manufacturing process which starts with deliberate production planning (based on allergen content in various products) and determining the production sequence. Implementing these principles in practice involves the need to change the production sequence so as to start with allergen-free products and move to allergen-containing products arranged by increasing number of allergens. This requires the allergens not only to be effectively separated from non-allergens, but also to be used in the production process at predefined time intervals so as to prevent cross-contamination. Each group of products is manufactured on a separate production line and is then placed in separate, properly labeled containers. Packaging and adequate labeling of food products are crucial aspects of allergen management. A shrink-wrapped finished product guarantees the delivery of safe, allergen-free food.

In addition to adherence to applicable procedures, allergen management requires maintaining good hygiene of the production environment. In this regard, priority is given to compliance with GHP principles, validated
methods for cleaning and disinfection of production facilities, machinery, devices and equipment. It is also important to periodically inspect allergen residues upon completing the production line cleaning process.

Unfortunately, some food manufacturers may experience multiple difficulties when establishing an allergen management policy. Solutions described in non-compulsory standards, including ISO 22000, BRC, IFS and SQF, provide some guidance for developing and implementing onsite management procedures for substances or products causing allergies or intolerances. Detailed requirements of each system are presented in Table 2. In the case of the BRC standard, “Management of allergens” is a major requirement of essential importance for the adoption and implementation of food quality and safety measures.

Table 2. Detailed requirements for allergen management, as provided for in the standards for quality and food safety management systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Chapter and characteristics of the requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| ISO 22000:2005 | Intended use  
Groups of users for each product including consumer groups known to be especially vulnerable to specific food safety hazards (e.g. allergens) shall be identified. |
| ISO/TS 22002-1:2009 | Allergen management  
Allergens present in the product, either by design or by potential manufacturing cross-contact, shall be declared by the manufacturer. Products shall be protected from unintended allergen cross-contact by cleaning and line change-over practices and/or product sequencing. Employees handling food should receive specific trainings in allergen awareness; allergen verification procedures should be implemented. |
| BRC (Issue 7, 2015) | Prerequisite programs  
The site shall establish and maintain programs necessary to create an environment suitable to produce safe and legal food products (e.g. allergen controls).  
Describe the product  
A full description for each product shall be developed, including information about allergens.  
Identify intended use  
Defining the consumer target groups, including the suitability of the product for vulnerable groups of the population (e.g. allergy sufferers).  
Supplier and raw material approval and performance monitoring  
The company shall undertake a documented risk assessment of each raw material or group of raw materials including packaging to identify potential risks to product safety, legality and quality. This shall take into account potential allergen contamination.  
Maintenance  
Materials used for equipment and plant maintenance which pose a risk by direct or indirect contact with raw materials, intermediate and finished products, shall be food grade and of a known allergen status.  
Staff facilities  
Catering facilities shall be suitably controlled to prevent contamination of products (e.g. as a source of introduction of allergenic material to the site).  
Housekeeping and hygiene  
As a minimum for food contact surfaces, equipment and for environmental cleaning in high-risk areas, limits of acceptable and unacceptable cleaning performance shall be defined (e.g. based on risk of allergen contamination).  
Storage facilities  
Documented procedures to maintain product safety and quality during storage shall be developed and implemented including segregation of products where necessary to avoid allergen cross-contamination.
Table 2 – cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Product control</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The company shall provide clear guidelines on any restrictions to the scope of new product developments to control the introduction of hazards which would be unacceptable to the site or customers (e.g., the introduction of allergens). All products shall be labeled to meet legal requirements for the designated country of use. There shall be a process to verify that ingredient and allergen labeling is correct based on the product recipe. **Management of allergens** |  
The site shall have a system for the management of allergenic materials which minimizes the risk of allergen contamination of products. Documented procedures shall be established to ensure the effective management of allergenic materials to prevent cross-contamination into products not containing the allergen. A documented risk assessment shall be carried out to identify routes of contamination and establish documented policies and procedures for handling raw materials, intermediate and finished products to ensure cross-contamination is avoided. Where the nature of the production process is such that cross-contamination from an allergen cannot be prevented, a warning should be included on the label. Where rework is used, or reworking operations are carried out, procedures shall be implemented to ensure rework containing allergens is not used in products that do not already contain the allergen. Where a claim is made regarding the suitability of a food for allergy or food sensitivity sufferers, the site shall ensure that the production process is fully validated to meet the stated claim and the effectiveness of the process is routinely verified. This shall be documented. **Process control** |  
Documented process specifications and work instructions shall be available for the key processes in the production to ensure product safety. The specifications shall include recipes including identification of any allergens. **Personnel** |  
All relevant personnel, including engineers, agency-supplied staff and temporary staff and contractors, shall have received general allergen awareness training and be trained in the site’s allergen-handling procedures. **IFS (Version 6, 2012)** |  
HACCP analysis  
The intended use of the product by the end consumer shall be described, taking into account vulnerable groups of consumers (e.g., allergy sufferers). Sanitary facilities, equipment for personnel hygiene and staff facilities  
The risk of product contamination by foreign material from staff facilities shall be evaluated and minimized. Traceability (including GMOs and allergens)  
A traceability system shall be in place which enables the identification of product lots and their relation to batches of raw materials, packaging in direct contact with food. Allergens and specific conditions of production  
Raw material specifications identifying allergens requiring declaration shall be available. The manufacturing of products which contain allergens requiring declaration shall be carried out as to ensure cross-contamination is minimized as far as possible. Finished products containing allergens requiring declaration shall be declared in accordance with current legal requirements. For the unintentional presence, the labeling of legally declared allergens and traces shall be based on hazard analysis and assessment of associated risks. Where products are “free from” certain substances or ingredients causing allergies, verifiable procedures shall be in place. **SQF (Edition 7.2, 2014)** |  
Allergen management  
A risk analysis of those raw materials, ingredients and processing aids that contain allergens shall be implemented in order to develop a list of allergens. The allergen management program for food production shall be documented and implemented. It shall include: identification, traceability, handling and storing of products containing allergens, activities sufficient to prevent potential allergen cross contact, employee training program. **Source:** ISO 22000:2005, ISO/TS 22002-1:2009, BRC, IFS, SQF Code.
DETECTION OF ALLERGENS IN FOOD PRODUCTS

The presence of undeclared allergenic substances in food can pose a threat to the health of allergy sufferers. Therefore, to ensure food safety, highly sensitive and reliable methods for allergen tracing and quantification in food products are required to be applied by food safety and quality inspection authorities. Methods recommended by the European Union include the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) which is the most popular and commonly used methodology for the routine monitoring of allergens due to its sensitivity, high precision and good potential for standardization (Schubert-Ullrich et al., 2009; Weng et al., 2016). Two types of ELISA are the most widely used methods: Sandwich ELISA (s-ELISA) and Competitive ELISA (c-ELISA). The s-ELISA and c-ELISA assays were designed to detect allergens in several products: cereals, milk, eggs, shellfish, sesame, soybeans, tree nuts and peanuts (Słowianek and Leszczyńska, 2011). The major limitations of ELISA assays include matrix effects and insufficient specificity due to cross-reactivity between various substances that may produce either false positive or false negative results. Food processing is likely to cause the loss of immunological properties of allergens. Processes such as heat treatment, fermentation or hydrolysis may impact the primary structure of the protein and, as a consequence, affect the IgE-binding activity. In such cases, while the allergen quantification process may provide negative results, partially processed allergen proteins that cause an immune response in vulnerable individuals (EFSA 2014) may still be present in the food. Therefore, in order to increase the precision of allergen detection, ELISA was combined with mass spectrometry (ELISA-ICP-MS). The inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry is a proposed method of sensitive and quantitative element-tagged immunoassay for protein analysis in biological samples (Careri et al., 2007).

Examples of rapidly developing strategies for qualitative and quantitative analyses include Lateral flow assays (LFA) and dipstick tests which are widely used as they enable performing analyses without interrupting the production process (Sajid et al., 2015). Strip assays allow the detection of allergens such as eggs (0.02 mg per kg), gluten (10 mg per kg), gliadin (2.5 mg per kg), milk (below 5 mg per kg), peanuts (1–5 mg per kg), almonds (1 mg per kg), hazelnuts (1–5 mg per kg), crustaceans (5 mg per kg) and molluscs (5 mg per kg) (Schubert-Ullrich et al., 2009).

Food analysis relies on many other methods for allergens detection, such as rocket immunoelectrophoresis (RIE), double immunodiffusion, RAST and EAST inhibition tests, western blot, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) or liquid chromatography tandem-mass spectrometry (LC-MS-MS) (EFSA, 2014; Poms et al., 2004; Scharf et al., 2013). While some methods allow to detect and quantify even trace amounts of allergens in raw materials, intermediate or final products, their reliability still needs to be improved. The methods used in food allergen analyses will continue to evolve in line with the needs of the food industry to ensure the safety of consumers prone to allergic reactions.

CONCLUSIONS

The management of food allergens at all stages of food production and distribution processes is aimed at providing safe, high-quality products, especially in the context of the growing number of food allergies affecting the society. Measures taken by companies must be based on a detailed assessment of risks due to presence of allergens in all ingredients and additives used in the manufacturing of finished products. The probability of unintentional presence of allergens in food must be also considered. Actions taken to prevent allergen introduction into food products, and to provide consumers with reliable information on the presence or absence of allergens through adequate product labeling are vital for ensuring food safety. This problem needs to be addressed on a comprehensive basis so as not to underestimate any area of the company. Only such an approach can bring tangible benefits, including increased consumer trust in product labels, a reduction of the number of notifications on food safety hazards and, as a result, a reduction of the number of products being discontinued or withdrawn from the market.
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